AN EFFICIENT PROCEDURE FOR THE SYNTHESIS AND ISOLATION OF (+)-(2R,3R,11R,12R)- AND (-)-(2S,3S,11S,12S)-TETRAPHENYL-18-CROWN-6

John Crosby,^a Martin E. Fakley,^b Colin Gemmell,^c Keth Martin,^c Andrew Quick,^d Alexandra M.Z. Slawin,^e Hooshang Shahriari-Zavareh,^c J. Fraser Stoddart,^c and David J. Williams^e

- ^a ICI FCMO, Hexagon House, Blackley, Manchester M9 3DA, UK
- ^b ICI C&P Limited, P O Box 1, Billingham, Cleveland TS23 1LB, UK
- ^C Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield S3 7HF, UK
- d University of London Computing Centre, 20 Guilford Street, London WC1 N1DZ, UK
- e Department of Chemistry, Imperial College, London SW7 2AY, UK

Summary: The enantiomers of the chiral 2,3-diphenyl- and 2,3,11,12-tetraphenyl-1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclooctadecanes have been prepared in single step reactions from the readily-available chiral precursors, (RR)- and (SS)-hydrobenzoins, followed by bulk isolations of the pure 18-crown-6 derivatives via their 1:1 crystalline complexes with potassium nitrate (for the diphenyl derivative) or calcium nitrate (for the tetraphenyl derivative), obtained directly from the worked-up crude reaction mixtures: X-ray crystal structures characterise the uncomplexed (RRRR)tetraphenyl-18-crown-6 and the 1:1 complex formed between its (SSSS)-enantiomer and calcium nitrate.

During the last fifteen years, chiral crown ethers¹ have been employed extensively in molecular recognition processes designed for the enantiomeric differentiation of racemic substrates and as a basis for the creation of enzyme mimics and analogues.² Both enantiomers, *i.e.* (*RRRP*)-TP18C6 and (*SSSS*)-TP18C6, of 2,3,11,12-tetraphenyl-1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclo-octadecane³⁻⁶ have been employed successfully as chiral auxiliaries (1) *in stoichiometric amounts* to form ammonia-borane adducts⁷ capable^{8,9} of effecting the enantioselective reductions of prochiral aromatic ketones [PhCOR where R = Me, Et, Prⁱ, Bu^t] to the corresponding (*S*) and (*R*) aromatic secondary alcohols with enantiomeric excesses of up to 90% and (2) *in catalytic amounts* to provide potassium cyanide complexes¹⁰ capable^{9,11} of promoting the asymmetric phase transfer formation, with benzaldehyde as substrate and benzoyl chloride as trapping agent, of the optically-active benzoylated cyanohydrins in up to 40% enantiomeric excess. The stereospecific synthesis^{3,8} of (*RRRP*)-TP18C6 and (*SSSS*)-TP18C6 by base-promoted reactions of (*RR*)- and (*SS*)-hydrobenzoin respectively with diethyleneglycol bistosylate gives the **TP18C6** derivatives in chemical yields which rarely exceed 25%, following difficult and painstaking purification by silica gel chromatography.



(RRRR)-TP18C6 (RRRR)-TA18C6 (RR)-DP18C6





(SSSS)-TP18C6 (SSSS)-TA18C6 (SS)-DP18C6 Moreover, until last year, resolved samples of (*RR*)- and (*SS*)-hydrobenzoin were relatively hard to accumulate in any useful quantities, following classical procedures such as (1) spontaneous resolution of enantiomers by entrainment¹² or (2) fractional crystallisation of diastereoisomeric derivatives, *e.g.* bismenthoxyacetates.³ This situation was transformed dramatically with the announcement¹³ from the Sharpless group at MIT of a highly efficient catalytic asymmetric *cis*-dihydroxylation procedure¹⁴ whereby the pure enantiomers of hydrobenzoin can be obtained¹⁵ reliably and efficiently on a 100 g scale from the inexpensive prochiral precursor, *trans*-stilbene. Thus, it is now possible¹⁵ to synthesise (*RRRR*)-TP18C6 and (*SSSS*)-TP18C6 stereospecifically from (*RR*)- and (*SS*)-hydrobenzoins, provided an efficient regime for isolating enantiomeric TP18C6 derivatives can be found. Here, we report on a procedure¹⁶ by which (*RRRR*)-TP18C6 and (*SSSS*)-TP18C6 can be crystallised directly from their crude reaction mixtures using calcium nitrate¹⁷ as the complexing agent. Subsequent decomplexation can be achieved by partitioning the complexes between chloroform and water to afford the pure TP18C6 enantiomers in up to 42% yield. The *uncomplexed* (*RRRR*)-enantiomer and the *calcium nitrate complex* of the (*SSSS*)-enantiomer have both been characterised¹⁸ by X-ray crystallography: we take the opportunity to compare their solid state structures with those of the most closely-related 18C6 derivatives^{5,6,19} and their complexes.^{5,8,9,17}

In contrast with the 1:1 adduct formed^{8,9} between (*RRR*)-TP18C6 and ammonia-borane, where one pair of vicinal phenyl groups is axial whilst the other pair is equatorial, in the 1:1 complex of calcium nitrate with (*SSSS*)-TP18C6, all four phenyl substituents are pseudo-equatorial (Figs. 1 and 2). The structure of (*SSSS*)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂ differs strikingly from that of the analogous Ca(NO₃)₂ complex¹⁷ of (2*R*,3*R*,11*R*,12*R*)-2,3,11,12-tetramethyl-18-crown-6, where all four methyl groups are pseudo-axial. The all-equatorial orientation of the phenyl substituents in (*SSSS*)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂ allows ion-pairing of the axially-disposed nitrate ligands to the 10-coordinate calcium ion.²⁰

In common with (*SSSS*)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂ and (*RRRR*)-TA18C6,¹⁹ both crystallographically independent molecules of uncomplexed (*RRRR*)-TP18C6 have their four phenyl groups arranged equatorially. Although one (Fig. 3a) of these two molecules has — like (*RRRR*)-TA18C6¹⁹ — molecular C_2 symmetry, the other (Fig. 3b) does not. Interestingly, the C_2 symmetric (*RRRR*)-TP18C6 possesses two almost eclipsed —OCH₂CH₂O— units, a feature not observed previously in any of the diastereoisomeric 2,3,11,12-tetraphenyl- or 2,3,11,12-tetra-anisyl-18-crown-6 derivatives, ^{5,8,9,19}

Since the procedure reported here for synthesising and isolating (*RRRR*)-TP18C6 and (*SSSS*)-TP18C6 *avoids the use of chromatography*, it is convenient to operate it on a gram scale. *The method*, which has also been employed successfully²¹ by us²² to obtain pure samples of (*RR*)-DP18C6 and (*SS*)-DP18C6, *via* their 1:1 complexes (*cf.* ref. 17) with KNO₃, *is probably quite a general one.*



Fig. 1. Ball-and-stick representation of the major occupancy conformation of (SSSS)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂ with torsional angles shown on the macrocyclic ring

Fig. 2. Space filling representation of (SSSS)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂





Fig. 3. Ball-and-stick representation of the two crystallographically independent conformations [(a) and (b)] of (*RRRR*)-TP18C6 in the crystal. Torsional angles are shown on the macrocyclic rings.

References and Footnotes

- 1. J.F. Stoddart, Top. Stereochem., 1987, 17, 207.
- J.F. Stoddart, in M.I. Page (Ed): The Chemistry of Enzyme Action, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984, p. 529; in M.I. Page and A. Williams (Eds): Roy. Soc. Chem. Special Publication on Enzyme Mechanisms, 1987, p. 35.
- 3. A. Merz, M. Eichner, and R. Tomahogh, *Liebigs Ann, Chem.*, 1981, 1774; F. Dietl, A. Merz, and R. Tomahogh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 5255.
- 4. J. Yamashita, M. Minigawa, A. Sonobe, S. Ohashi, M. Kawamura, K. Shimizu, and H. Hashimoto, *Chem. Lett.*, 1982, 1409.
- 5. G. Weber, G.M. Sheldrick, Th. Burgemeister, F. Dietl, A. Mannshreck, and A. Merz, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 855.
- 6. E. Blasius, R.A. Rausch, G.D. Andreetti, and J. Rebizant, Chem. Ber., 1984, 117, 1113.
- 7. H.M. Colquhoun, G. Jones, J.M. Maud, J.F. Stoddart, and D.J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 63.
- 8. B.L. Allwood, H. Shahriari-Zavareh, J.F. Stoddart, and D.J. Williams, J. Cherm. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1461.
- 9. J.F. Stoddart, Biochem. Soc. Trans., 1987, 15, 1188.
- 10. R. Chênevert, R. Plante, and N. Voyer, Syn. Commun., 1983, 13, 403.
- 11. H.M. Colguhoun, J.F. Stoddart, and D.J. Williams, New Scientist, No 1506, 1 May 1986, p. 44.
- 12. A. Collet, M.-J. Brienne, and J. Jacques, Chem. Revs., 1980, 80, 215.
- 13. E.N. Jacobsen, I. Markó, W.S. Mungall, G. Schröder, and K.B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 1968.
- 14. J.S.M. Wai, I. Markó, J.S. Svendsen, M.G. Finn, E.N. Jacobsen, and K.B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 1123.
- 15. We are grateful to *Professor K. Barry Sharpless* for providing us with details of the method prior to its publication. It provided samples of (RR)- and (SS)-hydrobenzoins with optical purities of 99%.
- 16. The crude reaction product (2.45 g), obtained after a conventional work up of a reaction (90°C for 3 days) of (*SS*)-hydrobenzoin (1.0 g, 4.7 mmol) with diethyleneglycol bistosylate (1.90 g, 9.5 mmol) in dry dioxane (150 ml) containing NaH (250 mg) and K₂CO₃ (65 mg), was dissolved in dry CHCl₃ (25 ml), and excess (5.65 g) of dry Ca(NO₃)₂ prepared by grinding the tetrahydrate into a fine white powder and drying (100°C) it under reduced pressure (0.05 mm Hg) for 6 h was added portionwise during 30 min with stirring under N₂. [If less Ca(NO₃)₂ is used, then the yield of the complex decreases.] The suspension was stirred at 45°C for 48h, before being cooled and filtered to remove excess of Ca(NO₃)₂. The filtrate was concentrated to afford a yellow paste (2.45 g) which solidified on addition of Et₂O (20 ml). After dissolving the solid product in CHCl₃ (8 ml), dry DME (6 ml) was added, whereupon the solution became cloudy. Addition of no more than 0.5 ml of CHCl₃ removed the cloudiness and the solution was cooled to 0°C. [The volumes employed of both the solvent and the precipitant are critical. If the solution is too concentrated, impurities will contaminate the 1:1 complex. Conversely, if the solution is too dilute, then a low yield of the 1:1 complex will be obtained.] Seed crystals (15 mg) of pure

(SSSS)-TP18C6.Ca(NO3)2 were introduced and the solution was allowed to stand at -30°C under Ar for 18 h. [Again, the amount of seed crystals employed is important. If the amount used is too much, crystallisation will occur too quickly and the resulting 1:1 complex will be impure. If less than 10 mg of seed crystals is used, they tend to dissolve in the solution - even at low temperatures - before crystallisation of the 1:1 complex occurs.] The crystalline precipitate (1.57 g) of the 1:1 complex was filtered off, washed with cold hexane (5 ml) and dried under vacuum. [A few single crystals of (SSSS)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂.0.75CH₂Cl₂, m.p. > 300°C, suitable for X-ray crystallography, were grown by slow evaporation of a solution (1 ml) of the 1:1 complex (10 mg) in CH₂Cl₂:DME (3:1, v/v).] The 1:1 complex was redissolved in CHCl₃ (10 ml) and the solution was extracted with H₂O (15 ml). The CHCl₂ solution was separated, dried, and concentrated to give crystalline (SSSS)-TP18C6 (1.11 g, 42%), m.p. 112°C (lit.³ m.p. 113-114°C), [α]_D -8.2° (c, 0.9 in CHCl₃), δ_H (CDCl₃) 3.62-3.94 (16H, m, 8 x CH₂), 4.55 (4H, s, 4 x CH), and 6.89-7.25 (20H, m, 4 x C₆H₅). A similar procedure was used to prepare (RRRR)-TP18C6, m.p. 113 °C (lit.³ m.p. 113-114°C), [a]_D +7.9° (c, 0.9 in CHCl₃) in 36% yield from (*RR*)-hydrobenzoin. Recrystallisation of (RRRR)-TP18C6 from CH₂Cl₂-n-pentane afforded single crystals suitable for X-ray structural analyses.

- 17. The purification of (2R,3R,11R,12R)-tetramethyl-1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaoxacyclo-octadecane has been achieved by forming a crystalline complex with calcium nitrate. See R.B. Dyer, D.H. Metcalf, R.G. Ghirardelli, R.A. Palmer, and E.M. Holt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 3621.
- Crystal data: For (SSSS)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂.0.75CH₂Cl₂: tetragonal, a = 11.898(8), c = 56.412(33) Å, $V = 7986 \text{ Å}^3$, space group $P4_{3}2_{1}2$, Z = 8, $\rho = 1.32 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, μ Cu-K $\alpha = 28 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 4322 independent observed reflections $[|F_0| \ge 3\sigma(|F_0|), \theta \le 58^\circ]$. For (*RRRR*)-TP18C6.CH₂Cl₂: monoclinic, a = 8.835(2), b = 23.419(5), b = 23c = 16.939(6) Å, β = 96.51(2)°. V = 3482 Å³, space group P2₁, Z = 4 (2 crystallographically independent molecules), $\rho = 1.25 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\mu \text{Cu}\text{-}\text{Ka} = 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 3807 independent observed reflections $[|F_{\alpha}| \ge 3\sigma(|F_{\alpha}|)]$. 2θ ≤ 116°]. Data for both structures were measured on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer using the ω-scan routine with graphite-monochromated Cu-Ka radiation. Both structures were solved by direct methods and refined anisotropically to give for (SSSS)-TP18C6.Ca(NO₃)₂.0.75CH₂Cl₂, R = 0.072, $R_w = 0.080$ (there being two alternative sites observed for (C11) with occupancies of 40 and 60%), and for (RRRR)-TP18C6 CH2Cl2, R = 0.068, $R_w = 0.068$. Further details of the crystal structure investigations can be obtained from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW.
- 19. D.A. Pears, H. Shahriari-Zavareh, J.F. Stoddart, J. Crosby, B.L. Allwood, A.M.Z. Slawin, and D.J. Williams, Acta Cryst., 1988, C44, 1097, 1101, 1104, 1106, 1109, 1112, 1115, 1118.
- 20. Coordination [Ca...O] distances are in the range 2.44-2.64 Å apart from those involving O(1) and O(10), which are 2.73 and 2.80 Å, respectively.
- 21. The crude reaction product (1.7 g), isolated after reaction (reflux for 16 h) of (RR)-hydrobenzoin (0.8 g, 3.7 mmol) with pentaethyleneglycol bistosylate (1.9 g, 3.7 mmol) in dry dioxane (205 ml) containing NaH (0.18 g, 7.5 mmol), was dissolved in CHCl₃ (18 ml) and dry powdered KNO₃ (2 g) was added portionwise and the mixture was stirred vigorously under No for 18 h. After filtering off the excess of KNO3, the CHCl3 solution was concentrated to afford a solid residue (1.8 g), which was redissolved in CHCl₃ (5 ml) by gentle warming before dry DME (6 ml) was added. The precipitate which formed was redissolved by the addition of a minimum (1-2 ml) of CHCl₃ and the solution was cooled to 0°C before seed crystals (5-10 mg) of (RR)-DP18C6.KNO3 were introduced. After 16 h under Ar at -30°C, the crystalline product (0.74 g), m.p. 162-165°C, was collected following filtration and washing with n-hexane. The 1:1 complex (RF)-DP18C6.KNO3 was redissolved in CHCl3 (5 ml) and the KNO3 removed by repeated aqueous extraction. Concentration of the CHCl₃ solution afforded pure (RR)-DP18C6 (0.56 g, 36%), m.p. 81-83°C, [α]_D +20.9° (c, 1.55 in CHCl₃), δ_H (CDCl₃) 3.42-3.90 (20 H, m, 10 x CH₂), 4.55 (2 H, s, 2 x CH), and 6.98-7.25 (10 H, m, 2 x C₆H₅). A similar procedure was used to prepare (SS)-DP18C6, m.p. 82-84°C,

 $[\alpha]_D$ -21.3° (c, 1.21 in CHCl₃) in 27% yield from (SS)-hydrobenzoin.

22. We are grateful to ICI FCMO and ICI C&P Limited, and the Science and Engineering, and Agricultural and Food Research Councils in the UK for financial support.

(Received in UK 9 May 1989)